

Performance assessment of the LoMiRad ultra-wideband low frequency radiometer

Ada Vittoria Bosisio, Marco Brogioni, Alessandro Lapini, Massimo Baldi, Francesco Montomoli, Joel T. Johnson, Giovanni Macelloni







This work was supported by the Italian Space Agency Contract : ASI CI-UOT-2018-24

#### Introduction

- <u>CNR and OSU</u> have been active in <u>microwave radiometry for many years</u>, working on modeling, data analysis, experimental campaigns, and instrument design.
- in the 2014, <u>OSU introduced a new approach</u> for Earth remote sensing: <u>ultra-wideband low-frequency</u> <u>microwave</u> radiometry (or better: spectroscopy)
- The approach <u>samples the microwave spectrum continuously</u> from 500-2000 MHz and uses these 'wideband' brightness temperature data to retrieve properties of the surface
- The <u>UWBRAD</u> project, coordinated by OSU in collaboration of many US and EU scientists, <u>developed</u> <u>an airborne radiometer</u> and performed modeling studies to demonstrate potential in the field. The primary targets of interest were sea ice and ice sheets.
- Continuous spectral sampling allows for:
  - RFI detection and mitigation
  - > optimal use of the spectrum to improve sensitivity (i.e. NEdT)
  - use of spectral trends in geophysical parameter retrievals

#### How to measure – the physical basis



- Thermal noise emissions are produced throughout ice sheets /sea ice
- Emission from a given depth is attenuated by overlying ice sheet / sea ice
- Background emission (ocean/rock) is attenuated by ice sheet / sea ice
- Lower frequencies observe greater depths, higher frequencies shallower
- Over ocean, lower frequencies are more sensitive to sea surface salinity

#### Introduction

- Spaceborne deployment of the concept has been considered both by <u>NASA</u> (PolarRad concept) and <u>ESA</u> (CryoRad, Earth Explorer 10, 11 and 12). <u>CryoRad approved for ESA EE-12 phase 0 study in 2024</u>.
- The <u>Italian Space Agency further supported the preparation</u> of the Earth Explorer proposal with two dedicated studies:
  - > ASI Cryorad in 2015
  - ➢ ASI Cryorad Follow-On in 2020
- > One of the aims of the latter was the development of an airborne European UWB radiometer to
  - > support the development of the new technique
  - foster new campaign opportunities in the US and EU
  - support national industries

### **ESA EE12 Cryorad mission**



**MO1:** Improving understanding of the processes controlling the mass balance and stability of ice sheets and ice shelves, their current and future contributions to rates of global sea-level rise



- Ice sheet and ice shelf temperature profiles;
- Presence of intraglacial water
  (i.e. aquifers) and water at the
  bottom of ice sheets (i.e. basal melt)

**MO2:** Bridge the observation gap for sea surface salinity in cold waters to provide new insights into the freshwater cycle and water mass formation at high latitudes



• Sea surface salinity with special focus on high latitudes where there is high uncertainty



**MO3**: Monitoring sea ice growth and salinity exchange processes in the Arctic and Antarctic



- Sea Ice Thickness in the range 0-2 m
- Sea Ice Salinity in the range 0 20 g/kg not presently available from space
- Relative error expected ≈ 10%

## LoMiRad requirements

The main requirements of the radiometer were:

#### **Main RF Specification**

Concept	Wideband spectral-Radiometer
Frequency	0.4 – 2 GHz continuous
Polarization	Circular
Incidence Angle	Nadir
Input channels	1 (mandatory), 2 (preferred)
Reference loads	2 (mandatory), 4 (preferred)
Output channels	16
Radiometric Res.	< 0.5 K
Absolute Accuracy	< 1 K

#### **Main Operational Specification**

Parameter	Value
Weight	<50 kg, to be lifted by 3 people
Operational temp.	-40°C ÷ +40°C
Platform	Airborne, ground and truck deployment
Power supply	24 ÷ 28 Vdc, (110/320 Vac optional)
Max power abs	1 kW
Case	19" standard rack

- > Implemented the total power radiometer configuration
- Built using <u>connectorized devices</u> instead of smd components (ease of characterization, each device can be substituted easily without major impact on performance even during a campaign).
- <u>direct conversion scheme</u>, avoiding analog mixers and local oscillators (and their relative issues like temperature dependence)

## LoMiRad front-end

Characteristics of the front-end inputs:

- <u>two input ports</u> for a dual pol antenna
- Active Cold Load ACL, same LNA as used in the front end. Eq. temp ~ 130 190K dep on frequency
- Cold load, termination at ambient temperature incorporated in a copper block. Temp ~ 290K,
- Hot load, termination inside of the RF front-end at about 330K. Front-end temperature is controlled through a PID device
- Noise source that can be switched on/off. Represents a target at about 400-450 K.
- > Preferred to use devices produced by known companies as much as possible
- The following components were selected for the RF section.
  MCLI D6-3/REF SP6T microwave pin-diode switch,
  Narda-Miteq LNA-40-00100400-13-10P,
  RF LAMBDA RFLT4W0502G 4-way power divider,
  AAREN Technologies cavity filters,
  APC AT13A-GX114-AF limiters





Analog signals output connector

PT100 Tx modules (mw sw, NS, Hot and Cold loads)

subchannel filters

2<sup>nd</sup> stage LNAs

Power limiters



8

Brisbane, August 8 2025

## LoMiRad back-end

- The back-end is implemented through an Ettus x410 SDR coupled to an industrial PC.
- Ettus x410 has 4 independent input channels with 400MHz bandwidth each
- Signal is digitized with a 12 bits ADC (15 bit after DDC)
- > Processing of the signal in the 4 ch is executed in parallel on the FPGA
- the SDR output data are similar to those of UWBRAD (full-band power and kurtosis @ 400 MHz, sub-band power and kurtosis).
- FFT is performed at 4096 points over a bandwidth of 500 MHz (122 KHz spectral resolution)
- single spectrogram is ~ 1 sec long with granules of ~ 1 ms
- All the DSP is coded in VHDL under the RFNoC framework
- PC host communicates with the SDR via a 100 Gbit Eth to receive and save data, acquire analog data (temperatures and platform attitude), schedule the acquisition sequence, and perform offline RFI processing







# **RFI algorithms**

The following RFI mitigation algorithms are implemented (in post-processing):

- <u>Full-band kurtosis</u>. For each channel, a time bin x is considered affected by RFI if  $|K_{FB}(x) K_{FB no RFI}| > K_{FB threshold}$
- <u>Sub-band kurtosis</u>. For each spectrogram, a frequency bin y is considered affected by RFI if

$$|K_{SB}(y) - K_{SB no RFI}| > K_{SB threshold}$$

• Pulse blanking. Given the power spectral density matrix P(f,t), a frequency f' at time bin t' is considered affected by RFI if

$$|P(f',t') - Median_T[P(f,t)]| > PB_{threshold} MAD_T[P(f,t)]$$
  
computed in Time for each frequency bin

## Stability test

- Active Cold Load and Noise Source were characterized in March 2025 with 180 s of data (LN2 & termination at 323K)
  - Integration time set to 400 ms (from lab meas, tradeoff between accuracy and speed)
- Stability test performed in April 2025
  - We collected 2 hours of data on LN2 target for each input port
  - The other input port was terminated with a matched load through a similar cable as for LN2
- Secondary aim: assess the self-RFI presence and its level (if any)

## Cables

- We used Andrew Heliax ½" cable (FXL-540 TBC) made of a low density foam with an attenuation of 4.4-10 dB/100m
- We assembled two 1m long cables





Heliax cables (same length) also prevent heat propagation from frontend (50°C) to cables



### Results of stability test: 120min LN2



- Variations over frequency: (A) 3.5K Vs (B) 4K
- Average difference: (A) is 2.5K higher than (B)
- Likely due to differences in the mw sw channels (and maybe in the .141 short cables)

calibration!

### Results of stability test: 120min air



- Acquired in different moments, (A) at night (B) in the morning, with the window of the lab partially open for security concerns (LN2 was evaporating)
- > Cal curve developed with Input A measurements
- > 1.35 GHz channel need to be better calibrated, all of the others are in the same range

no abs

calibration!

#### Results of stability test: precision



- Both input channels show similar precision
- Precision slightly degrades at lowest channel (likely due to Ettus x410 performances)
- > Air datasets not detrended, part of std dev is due to ambient temperature changes

### Setup of linearity measurements



Same cables as before, plus a 30 cm cable connecting Input A to attenuator

#### Results of linearity with raw data



Anomalous behaviors due to a cable connector that loosened (problem of Heliax connectors)

#### Results of linearity with RFI-mitigated data (PB)



#### Linearity confirmed over the operative range 77-300K, R2>0.99

### Example of RFI mitigated by power blanking



likely due to mobile phone

#### ➤ 2D plots of 3D spectrogram

> spectrogram at full spectral resolution and 100 ms temporal res.

#### LoMiRad status

- LoMiRad has been successfully designed and manufactured
- Mechanical, electrical (<350W max) and environmental (49kg) req. have been met.
- Integration time set to 400ms (aircraft platform motion 35 m at 150 Kn)
- RFI algorithms (max power, kurtosis, cross-frequency) implemented, thresholds set but still under refinement
- stability assessed. Precision of 0.1-0.15 K on cold targets (LN2, water), ~ 0.4K on warm targets (e.g. soil) depending on frequency
- linearity assessed R2>0.99
- Compensation of antenna/frontend mismatch undergoing (according to Corbella et al., 2005)
- LoMiRad will be deployed in the CryoS<sup>4</sup> campaign at Baffin Bay this August.